merton

Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Panel - Performance Index 2018/19

	Performance Indicators		Target 2018/19	Benchmarking and trend					Merton 2017/18 performance												
No.		Frequency		Merton 2017/18	Merton 2016/17	England	London	BRAG rating	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18 / Q1	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18 / Q2	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18 / Q3	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19 / Q4	Notes
Asse	ssments																-				
1	Number of Common and Shared Assessments undertaken (CASAs)	Quarterly	Not a target measure	171	422	No benchmarking available	No benchmarking available	Not a target measure			n/a										Quarterly (Time lag in collati CASAs from partner agencie YTD
2	% of Single Assessments authorised within the statutory 45 days	Monthly	93%	89%	91%	83% (2016/17)	82% (2016/17)	Red	85% (117/138)	78% (251/320)	78% (402/513)	79% (559/712)	80% (720/895)								Year to Date
3	% of Education, Health and Care plans issued within statutory 20 week timescale (new, including exceptions)	Monthly	55%	34% (Jan 2018)	19% (Jan 2017)	61.3% (Jan 2018)	52.4% (Jan 2018)	Green			63% (45/72)										Year to Date
Child	d protection			1													-				1
4	Child Protection Plans rate per 10,000	Monthly	Not a target measure	41.7	27.2	43.3 (2016/17)	39.1 (2016/17)	Not a target measure	41.9	39.6	37.4	34.9	36.8								Monthly - as at the er of the month
5	Number of children subject of a Child Protection Plan	Monthly	Not a target measure	196	197	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	197	186	176	164	173								Monthly - as at the er of the month
6	Number of family groups subject of Child protection plans	Monthly	Not a target measure	n/a	n/a	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a								Monthly - as at the er of the month
7	Percentage of children subject of a Child Protection Plan with an allocated social worker (DELETION)	Monthly	100%	100%	100%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%								Monthly - as at the er of the month - to be defined
7a	Average caseload of workers for Children subject of a Child Protection Plan (PROPOSED)	Monthly	New	New	New	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available		New	New	New	New	New								defined Monthly - as at the er of the month - to be defined
8	% of quorate attendance at child protection conferences	Quarterly	95%	n/a	89%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available				99%										Quarterly
9	of reviews completed within timescale for Children with Child	Monthly	96%	n/a	99%	92% (2016/17)	96% (2016/17)	Green	96% (190/197)	96% (178/186)	93% (164/176)	96% (157/164)	96% (166/173)								Monthly - as at the er of the month
10	For Children subject of a CP Plan who had a 4 weekly CP visit within timescales in the month	Monthly	Not a target measure	n/a	94%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	77% (152/197)	85% (159/186)	90% (158/176)	92% (151/164)	92% (160/173)								Monthly - as at the er of the month
	Get Children that became the subject of a Child Protection Plan for the second or subsequent time	Monthly	16%	13%	13%	19% (2016/17)	15% (2016/17)	Green	33% (5/15)	22% (8/37)	17% (8/48)	16% (11/67)	15% (13/86)								Year To Date (NI 65)
Look	ked After Children			1						1						1	1			1	1
12	Looked After Children rate per 10,000	Monthly	Not a target measure		34	62 (2016-17)	50 (2016-17)	Not a target measure	33.6	34.0	33.4	34.7	34.5								End of the month snapshot
13	Number of Looked After Children	Monthly	Not a target measure		158	72670 (2016-17)	9910 (2016-17)	Not a target measure	158	160	157	163	162								End of the month snapshot
14	Number of UASC children and young people (PROPOSED)	Monthly	Not a target measure	New	New	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	25	27	27	30	30								Monthly - as at the er of the month
15	Percentage of Looked After Children with an allocated social worker (DELETION)	Monthly	100%	100%	100%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%								Monthly - as at the er of the month - to be defined
15a	Average caseload of workers for Looked After Children (PROPOSED)	Monthly	New	New	New	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available		New	New	New	New	New								Monthly - as at the er of the month - to be defined
16	Average number of weeks taken to complete Care proceedings against a national target of 26 weeks	Quarterly	26 weeks	31 weeks	25 weeks	31	No relevant benchmarking available				n/a										Quarterly
17	% of Looked After Children cases which were reviewed within required timescales	Monthly	96%	88%	97%	Not published	Not published		86%	87%	88%	89%	90%								Year To Date (NI 66)
18	% of Looked After Children participating in their reviews in month	Monthly	Not a target measure	99%	99%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a								Year to Date
19	Stability of placements of Looked After Children - number of moves (3 moves or more in the year)	Quarterly	11%	17%	16%	10% (2016/17)	12% (2016/17)	Green			1% (1/157)										Year To Date (NI 62)
20	Stability of placements of Looked After Children - length of placement	Quarterly	65%	69%	71%	70% (2016/17)	69% (2016/17)	Green			68% (38/56)										End of the month snapshot (NI 63)

No.	 Performance Indicators 	Frequency	Target 2018/19	Benchmarking and trend					Merton 2017/18 performance												
				Merton 2017/18	Merton 2016/17	England	London	BRAG rating	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18 / Q1	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18 / Q2	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18 / Q3	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19 / Q4	Notes
21	% of Looked After Children placed with agency foster carers	Quarterly	40%	n/a	44%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green			38% (47/125)										Quarterly
22	Number of in-house foster carers recruited	Quarterly	15	11	15	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green			3										Year to Date
23	Number of Looked After Children who were adopted and agency Special Guardianship Orders granted	Monthly	Not a target measure	12	17	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	0	0	5	6	6								Year to Date

	Performance Indicators			Benchmarking and trend									Mer	ton 2017/1	8 perform	ance					
No.		Frequency	Target 2018/19	Merton 2017/18	Merton 2016/17	England	London	BRAG rating	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18 / Q1	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18 / Q2	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18 / Q3	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19 / Q4	Notes
Child	Irens Centres and Schools																			•	
24	% outcome of all Children Centre Ofsted inspections good or outstanding (overall effectiveness) (DELETE)	Quarterly	100%	100%	100%	66% (31 August 2015)	72% (31 August 2015)	Green			100% (5/5)										Year to Date. National and London Comparitors as at 31/08/2015.
25	% of total 0-5 year estimated Census 2011 population from areas of deprivation (IDACI 30%) whose families have accessed children's centre services	Quarterly	Not a target measure	58%	68%	89% (31 March 2017)	93% (31 March 2017)	Not a target measure			n/a										Year to Date Cumulates (Target 19% per quarter)
26	% outcome of School Ofsted inspections good or outstanding (overall effectiveness)	Quarterly	91%	93%	91%	89% (31 August 2017)	94% (31 August 2017)	Green			91% (51/56)										Year to Date. National and London Comparitors as at 31/08/2017.
27	Number of Primary permanent exclusions (Number YTD Academic year)	Monthly	Not a target measure	1 (AY 2016/17)	0 (AY 2015/16)	1145 (AY 2015/16)	105(AY 2015/16)	Not a target measure	0	1	1	1	1								August End of Acad. Yr. YTD (August data interim until November). September start o the new Acad. Yr.
28	Number of Secondary permanent exclusions (Number YTD Academic year)	Monthly	Not a target measure	19 (AY 2016/17)	17 (AY 2015/16)	5445 (AY 2015/16)	805(AY 2015/16)	Not a target measure	5	6	6	7	7								August End of Acad. Yr. YTD September start of the new Acad. Yr.
29	Secondary persistent absenteeism (10% or more sessions missed)	Annual	Not a target measure	8.4% (AY 2016/17)	12% (AY 2015/16)	13.1% (AY 2015/16)	11.7% (AY 2015/16)	Not a target measure													Annual Measure 6 half-terms DfE Published SFR maintained and academies
30	% of Reception year surplus places	Annual	Range	7.7% (AY 2016/17) 9.6%	3.5 (AY 2015/16) 6.5%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available														Annual measure
31	% of Secondary school (Year 7) surplus places	Annual	Range	9.6% (AY 2016/17)	6.5% (AY 2015/16)	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available														Annual measure
Your	ng People and Services																				
32	Youth service participation rate	Annual	1800	1,967	NRTP	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available														Annual Measure
	D ^{ining (NEET)}	Monthly	3.0%	1.6% (Q4)	1.5% (Q4)	2.8%	No relevant benchmarking available	Green	1.5% (58/3883)	1.5% (60/3883)	1.4% (56/3885)	1.4% (55/3877)	1.4% (75/3874)								Monthly (totals are adjusted) - reported a month in arrears
	of CYP (16 - 17 year olds) education, employment or training status 'not known'	Monthly	Not a target measure	0.9% (Q4)	1.5% (Q4)	3.2%	No relevant benchmarking available		1.6% (61/3883)	1.4% (53/3883)	1.4% (55/3885)	1.7% (67/3877)	1.7% (67/3874)								Monthly (totals are adjusted) - reported a month in arrears
35	Number of First Time Entrants (FTEs) to the Youth Justice System aged 10-17	Monthly	50	54	63	326.90 rate per 100,000 (2016)		Green			12										Year to Date
36	Rate of proven re-offending by young people in the youth justice system	Quarterly	Not a target measure	0.50	0.55	1.04(2013)	1.10(2013)	Not a target measure			n/a										Quarterly (NI 19)
37	TF: Number of Families engaged for year 1 of Expanded Programme	Quarterly	Not a target measure	320	320	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure			n/a										Quarterly
38	% of commissioned services for which quarterly monitoring was completed	Quarterly	100%	100%	100%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green			100%										Quarterly (Time lag in collating fron partner agencies)
39	% agency social workers (PROPOSED)	Quarterly	New	100%	100%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green			n/a										Quarterly (Aligned with HR reporting

This page is intentionally left blank